

LTCP CASE REVIEWS AND PATH-TO-CLOSURE PLANS REPORT: As of Oct. 1, 2014

UST case reviews against the UST Low-Threat Case Closure Policy (LTCP) and Path-To-Closure Plans (PTCP) are required to be completed annually. These data report the status of these reviews for the year beginning August 17, 2013. All the data for the Regional Water Quality Control Boards, Local Oversight Programs, the Local Implementing Agencies, and the State Water Resources Control Board are compiled from GeoTracker. The data for the Division of Water Quality were compiled from internal tracking.

Regional Water Quality Control Boards - Low Threat Closure:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP	Case Review for LTCP in Progress	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met	Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria
NORTH COAST RWQCB (REGION 1)	112	1	30	11	2
SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)	317	6	8	1	0
CENTRAL COAST RWQCB (REGION 3)	65	0	32	14	5
LOS ANGELES RWQCB (REGION 4)	731	2	41	16	1
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5F)	166	0	2	2	1
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5R)	73	2	0	0	0
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5S)	266	4	1	2	0
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6T)	66	1	3	13	7
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6V)	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCB (REGION 7)	63	0	0	17	0
SANTA ANA RWQCB (REGION 8)	175	1	2	3	1
SAN DIEGO RWQCB (REGION 9)	55	1	0	1	1
TOTALS	2,089	18	119	80	18

Local Oversight Programs (LOP) – Low Threat Closure:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP	Case Review for LTCP in Progress	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met	Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria
ALAMEDA COUNTY LOP	167	0	3	13	5
ANAHEIM CITY LOP	0	0	0	0	0
EL DORADO COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0
HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOP	54	1	0	6	4
MERCED COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0
NAPA COUNTY LOP	12	0	0	1	0
NEVADA COUNTY LOP	7	0	0	0	0
ORANGE COUNTY LOP	168	5	7	14	1
RIVERSIDE COUNTY LOP	39	0	1	6	0
SACRAMENTO COUNTY LOP	84	0	33	14	8
SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP	240	1	11	4	2
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY LOP	28	0	16	15	6
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY LOP	69	1	3	1	0
SAN MATEO COUNTY LOP	92	0	16	6	0
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY LOP	49	2	11	4	2
SANTA CLARA COUNTY LOP	98	2	37	44	5
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY LOP	28	0	3	1	0
SOLANO COUNTY LOP	31	0	4	5	1
SONOMA COUNTY LOP	71	0	21	18	4
STANISLAUS COUNTY LOP	15	0	0	1	0
TULARE COUNTY LOP	38	0	0	1	0
VENTURA COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0
TOTALS	1,290	12	166	154	38

Regional Water Quality Control Boards – Path to Closure Plan

ORGANIZATION NAME	PTCP Required ⁱ	PTCP Not Started ⁱⁱ	PTCP in Progress ⁱⁱⁱ	PTCP Saved as Final ^{iv}	Closure Expected in Next 6 Months ^v	Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 ^{vi}	Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 ^{vii}	Closure Expected after 12/31/2019 ^{viii}
NORTH COAST RWQCB (REGION 1)	133	1	0	132	16	25	31	76
SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)	314	4	2	308	119	200	68	40
CENTRAL COAST RWQCB (REGION 3)	94	0	1	93	78	87	1	5
LOS ANGELES RWQCB (REGION 4)	757	9	0	748	93	250	456	42
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5F)	158	0	0	158	8	18	75	65
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5R)	66	0	1	65	0	16	28	21
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5S)	267	2	1	264	11	36	110	118
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6T)	65	0	2	63	26	40	4	19
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6V)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCB (REGION 7)	61	0	0	61	20	28	13	20
SANTA ANA RWQCB (REGION 8)	178	0	0	178	24	70	68	40
SAN DIEGO RWQCB (REGION 9)	55	0	0	55	8	27	28	0
SWRCB-DWQ	97	1	0	96	71	82	14	0
UST CLEAN UP FUND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTALS	2,245	17	7	2,221	474	879	896	446

ⁱ PTCP Required – LUST program cases whose status was “open” as of 8/17/2012

ⁱⁱ PTCP Not Started – LUST program cases whose PTCP has not yet been completed

ⁱⁱⁱ PTCP in Progress – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved in progress

^{iv} PTCP Saved as Final – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final

^v Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months – LUST program cases who PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 6/4/2014

^{vi} Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 12/31/2015

^{vii} Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019

^{viii} Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved after 12/31/2019

Local Oversight Programs (LOP) – Path to Closure Plan:

ORGANIZATION NAME	PTCP Required ⁱ	PTCP Not Started ⁱⁱ	PTCP in Progress ⁱⁱⁱ	PTCP Saved as Final ^{iv}	Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months ^v	Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 ^{vi}	Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 ^{vii}	Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 ^{viii}
ALAMEDA COUNTY LOP	162	0	1	161	14	66	94	1
EL DORADO COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ANAHEIM CITY LOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOP	55	0	1	54	20	47	2	5
MERCED COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NAPA COUNTY LOP	10	0	0	10	6	9	1	0
NEVADA COUNTY LOP	7	0	0	7	5	6	0	1
ORANGE COUNTY LOP	176	0	2	174	21	56	111	7
RIVERSIDE COUNTY LOP	38	0	0	38	0	2	20	16
SACRAMENTO COUNTY LOP	115	0	0	115	46	84	20	11
SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP	234	0	2	232	44	68	153	11
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY LOP	41	0	0	41	13	16	21	4
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY LOP	68	0	4	64	20	42	21	1
SAN MATEO COUNTY LOP	96	0	0	96	18	49	47	0
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY LOP	61	1	5	55	3	13	39	3
SANTA CLARA COUNTY LOP	134	1	0	133	36	92	41	0
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY LOP	30	0	0	30	23	28	0	2
SOLANO COUNTY LOP	34	1	0	33	5	18	15	0
SONOMA COUNTY LOP	90	0	0	90	17	30	57	3
STANISLAUS COUNTY LOP	15	0	1	14	2	5	9	0
TULARE COUNTY LOP	37	0	0	37	13	26	4	7
VENTURA COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTALS	1,403	3	16	1,384	306	657	655	72

ⁱ PTCP Required – LUST program cases whose status was “OPEN” as of 8/17/2012

ⁱⁱ PTCP Not Started – LUST program cases whose PTCP has not yet been completed

ⁱⁱⁱ PTCP in Progress – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved in progress

^{iv} PTCP Saved as Final – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final

^v Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 6/5/2014

^{vi} Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 12/31/2015

^{vii} Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019

^{viii} Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved after 12/31/2019

State Water Resources Control Board:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Closure Ordered by SWRCBⁱ	Regulatory Agencies Plan to Close	Regulatory Agencies Closed
DFA – UST CLEANUP FUND	134	47	537

ORGANIZATION NAME	Active Petitions as of 8/17/12	New Petitions Since 8/17/12	Petitions w/60-day Closure Notice Posted After 8/17/2012	Petitions Withdrawn by Responsible Party After 8/17/2012	Petitions Dismissed by Executive Director After 8/17/2012	Petitioned Cases Closed by Lead Agency After 8/17/2012	Petitioned Cases Closed by the State Water Board After 8/17/2012ⁱⁱ	Petitions Resolvedⁱⁱⁱ Since 8/17/2012	Active Petitions as of Reporting Date
DWQ – PETITIONS	71	17	4	4	15	30	32	81	7

ⁱ Closure activities must be completed within 6 months, and the Uniform Closure Letter issued within the following 2 months.

ⁱⁱ Petitions resolved equals the sum of petitions withdrawn by the responsibility party, petitions dismissed by executive director, petitioned cases closed by lead agency, and petitioned cases ordered closed by the Board.

ⁱⁱⁱ The Sum of Petitions Withdrawn by the Responsible Party, Petitions Dismissed by the Executive Director, Closed by the Lead Agency, and Closed by the State Water Board equals the Petitions Resolved.